

Review Form

International journal of Environment (IJE)

ISSN 2091-2854 (Online)

Author guidelines can be found here:

<https://www.nepjol.info/index.php/IJE/about/submissions>

General Information about the Paper:

Paper ID:

Paper Title:

Reviewer's Name:

Reviewer's Email:

Date Sent to Reviewer:

Review Period: TWO WEEKS

Paper Quality: Mark your evaluation in the suitable column. 5 indicate the best and 1 imply the worst.

ASPECT	BEST	5	4	3	2	1	WORST
Scope	Relevant						Irrelevant
Organization	Excellent						Poor
Clarity	High						Low
Length	Too Short						Too Long
References	Adequate						Incomplete
Correctness	Correct						Incorrect
Significance	High						Low
Originality	High						Low
Attachments	Helpful						Unnecessary
Survey Coverage:	Broad						Shallow
Contribution	Significant						No new
Expression	Clearly						Vague
Grammar	Good						Poor

Please make very detailed technical and editorial comments and suggestions directly on the manuscript. Your comments are an invaluable aid to the author(s) to help in improving the overall technical quality, utility, and readability of the material. These comments are also necessary to maintain the quality of the articles that are published in the journal. Particular attention should be given to details that guide possible revisions, or that clearly explain reasons for rejection. Please summarize comments that appear on the manuscript to help the author(s) focus on the major issues you have raised in your review.

What are the contributions of this paper?

Recommendation
A) Accept
B) Minor Revision
C) Major Revision
D) Reject
Comments
Confidential Comments to the Chief Editor (If Any)
<p>Comments to the Author(s)</p> <p>A) If you agree to accept this paper, please illustrate your reasons why this paper is qualified to be published in the journal in detail, or provide revision suggestions if you have any.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Why this paper is qualified: ● Revision suggestions: <p>B) If you think this paper needs major modification and resubmission, please provide summary and detail revision suggestions (research tools and techniques, methodology, paper presentation, grammar, figure and table, typesetting, reference, etc.). Please point out the section(s) where you think an error occurs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Detail revision suggestions: <p>C) If you think this paper should be rejected, please mention the reasons why it is not of sufficient quality to be published in the journal.</p>

Would you be interested to review a revision of this manuscript? Yes () No ()

Thank you very much for your contribution

Editorial Office

PSD-Nepal